Collaborating with Postgraduates: The CRC Contribution to Research Training

Nigel Palmer Research Fellow Centre for the Study of Higher Education (CSHE) University of Melbourne palmern@unimelb.edu.au

CRCA Conference 2012: Collaborate Innovate Adelaide, Australia May 15th, 2012



Overview

Collaborating with Postgraduates:

- Research postgraduate pathways & characteristics
- The CRC contribution to research training





AELBOURNE GRADUAT

Research postgraduate pathways



MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

.....

Where do they come from?





RHD Pathways – Prior Employment





Research postgraduate characteristics



Patterns of participation



Research postgraduate characteristics







J.

MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION Shaping minds, shaping the world

CWHD Characteristics

Domestic coursework postgraduates as a proportion of course level and field of study (2009)



Research postgraduate characteristics

Domestic RHD age as a proportion of broad field of study (2009)



Source: Students 2009 (full year) Selected Higher Education Statistics (2010). www.deewr.gov.au.





Research postgraduate characteristics

	Bachelor (pass and GE)	Honours	Grad. Cert./Dip.	Coursework Masters	Research Higher Degree (all)	Overall
Management & Commerce	27.8%	16.1%	88.4%	77.9%	57.2%	42.8%
Ag., Environmental & Related Studies	29.7%	18.5%	87.1%	81.1%	39.6%	41.0%
Information Technology	29.5%	21.5%	85.5%	78.9%	43.7%	39.7%
Society & Culture	28.4%	28.4%	66.4%	75.9%	48.8%	39.3%
Education	19.8%	23.7%	60.7%	70.0%	75.4%	36.6%
Health	17.7%	17.7%	84.2%	67.7%	48.7%	29.7%
Engineering & Related Technologies	16.0%	3.2%	90.8%	84.2%	31.2%	26.4%
Architecture & Building	17.0%	7.7%	85.3%	41.7%	56.1%	25.9%
Natural & Physical Sciences	18.8%	11.3%	83.3%	73.7%	28.0%	23.7%
Creative Arts	16.4%	18.2%	63.9%	59.2%	44.6%	22.5%
Overall	22.6%	20.7%	74.2%	73.2%	45.5%	35.1%

Proportion of domestic students enrolled part time by course type and broad field of education (2009)

Source: Students 2009 (full year) Selected Higher Education Statistics (2010). www.deewr.gov.au.



Overview

Collaborating with Postgraduates:

- Research postgraduate pathways & characteristics
- The CRC contribution to research training





MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

The CRC contribution to research training

CRCs are engaged in a range of education related activities. As a subset of these

What is the CRC contribution to research training?

- Right now it depends on who you ask
- Unis
- CRCs (and their industry partners)
- Students



The CRC contribution to research training

Aims of the Research Training Scheme (RTS):

- Quality, efficiency and effectiveness
- Responsiveness to student and labour market needs
- Development of distinctive research training profiles

On the face of it, these points seem to have a lot to do with what CRCs do, and arguably do well.





MELBOURNE GRADUATI

Others are asking these questions too:

- Stakeholders responding to the *Defining Quality* consultation paper as part of a review of the Research Training Scheme (RTS);
- The Best Practice Framework for Research Training being developed by The Council of Deans and Directors of Graduate Studies in Australia (DDoGS); and
- University groups engaging in their own benchmarking.
- These activities will inform development of TEQSA's standards for research and research training.



The CRC contribution to research training

Why should CRCs care?

- Part of demonstrating the value of the program
- Helpful in promoting 'brand awareness' of CRC research training activities
- · Helpful in recruitment
- Helpful in informing and supporting the research training activities of CRCs





MELBOURNE GRADUATI

Scoping study – some preliminary findings



Scoping study

Outcomes:

- Review prior developments in this area;
- Identify and review available metrics in which the value and distinctiveness of the CRC RHD environment may be reflected;
- Identify preliminary **dimensions and criteria** by which CRCs may be able to benchmark their RHD activities;
- Identify a set of guiding questions for future development opportunities in the research training activities of CRCs.





Prior developments

Prior developments:

- Research
- Policy
- Practice



Prior developments - research

Research on the CRC contribution to research training:

- A series of research initiatives were engaged through the 2000's into the experiences and outcomes of research higher degree students engaged with CRCs (<u>Manathunga, Pitt &</u> <u>Critchley, 2005; Manathunga, Pitt & Critchley, 2009; Pitt, Cox &</u> <u>Manathunga, 2010a, 2010b; Morris, Pitt & Manathunga, 2011</u>), and into the role of industry links in research training more broadly (<u>Harman, 2002; Harman, 2004; Harman, 2008</u>).
- Findings from these research initiatives have **broadly affirmed the role of CRCs in supporting industry-engaged research higher degrees**, and the need for strategies to ensure that CRCs serve to **add value** to the research training experience for individual candidates.





Prior developments - research

- Findings to date have provided important insight into some of the distinctive characteristics of CRC-engaged research higher degrees, comparisons between CRC and non-CRC engaged research candidates and a preliminary view of comparative outcomes.
- Noting results from studies available at the time, the O'Kane review noted the need for **further research** into the research training environment supported by CRCs.
- Opportunities exist to build on previous research in providing a clearer picture of the defining characteristics of CRCengaged research degrees, and to more clearly identify indicators through which the quality and success of CRC-RHDs may be reflected and improved.

MELBOURNE GRADUATI



Prior developments - Policy

CRC Education and Training Program Objectives and Selection Criteria 1991 – 2006 From *Collaborating to a Purpose* (2008, p.24).

	1991	1992	1996	2000-2002	'04 -
Objectives	To stim prograr outside and gra	ulate education and training ns, through the active involv the higher education syste iduate students in major res	To enhance the value to Australia of graduate researchers.	(*	
Criteria		The existence of challenging educational programs which benefit from the overall size and orientation of the Centre, and address identified market needs.	The development of leading innovative education and training activities that meet industry sector needs and enhance the employment prosects of graduates.	The proposed CRC has a well developed graduate education and training program oriented to research user and industry needs. The education and training program will domonstrably	specific reference
	The ext are invo prograr	tent to which researchers fro plved in education programs ns.	enhance the employment prospects and the value of the graduates of the program in the industry and user environment.	oN)	
(Cesha			MELBOURNE GRA	DUATE

Prior developments - Policy

- Revisions in 2000 refocussed CRC program objectives and criteria on the value of graduates to industry. Specific reference to research education in program objectives and criteria was dropped altogether from 2004, leaving only the requirement that CRCs engage at least one university as a research partner in order to meet their research training requirements (DIISR, 2010).
- Despite an apparent change in emphasis, reviews of the program have continued to emphasise the importance of the CRC program's research education role in developing Australia's innovation capacity, and in facilitating end user engagement in and uptake of the benefits of applied research. The O'Kane review for example clearly identified PhD graduates as an important means through which the benefits of research collaboration may be conveyed, and proposed a greater emphasis on end-user focussed education (especially at PhD level) through partnerships with universities, PFRAs and enduser groups (Recommendation 2, O'Kane, 2008, pp.xv-xvi).
- While the selection process for CRCs currently includes accounting for the quality of the educational program by peer review, those criteria do not provide for how that quality might be judged. The O'Kane review recommended the development of common metrics for evaluation and comparison across all CRCs, and that these also include metrics on research education. The implication here is that minimum levels of acceptable performance in research training might also feature among threshold requirements for CRC program funding (recommendation 7.4, O'Kane, 2008, p.xx).





MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION Shaping minds, shaping the world

Prior developments - Practice

- Every CRC applicant is required to secure a commitment from at least one Australian university to guarantee supervisory arrangements for research students associated with the Centre (for which funding is provided from the CRC). The O'Kane review noted that from the university perspective there was considerable prestige in being associated with a successful CRC bid, and that CRCs were considered a good source of additional funding for supervision, and for PhD scholarships (<u>O'Kane, 2008, p.35</u>).
- As a condition of this partnership, The university must guarantee to provide supervision for PhD students associated with the Centre, and be "continually vigilant in ensuring the research training experience for students is comprehensive and in line with industry and educational needs" (<u>O'Kane, 2008, p.xvii</u>).
- The extent to which CRCs supplement the "core" research training for CRC engaged RHDs provided by universities remains unclear. It may well be that it is the students themselves that determine this balance, seeking out the resources and support that best suit them and the nature of their research. Rather than seek to establish the exact nature of the arrangements one way or the other, it perhaps makes sense to review the nature and characteristics of CRC education programs, and the way these 'map' with research higher degree programs supported by universities. A more comprehensive evidence base in this area will assist in demonstrating strengths in the CRC research training environment, as well as identify opportunities for future improvement.





- Available metrics
- Opportunities for future development



Information sources:

The Management Data Questionnaire (MDQ)

- The MDQ forms part of the annual reporting requirements for CRCs.
- It is currently the principal means for collecting information on the activities of CRCs program-wide.





82

39

39

MELBOURNE GRADUAT

The Management Data Questionnaire (MDQ)

The MDQ includes survey items relevant to a range of education-related activities.

Current items relevant to CRC research training activities include:

- The equivalent full-time student load (EFTSL) of students
- The number (headcount) of commencing students
- The number (headcount) of course completions



Metrics – preliminary findings



Source: Unpublished data from the CRC Program Management Data Questionnaire (MDQ), Department of Industry, Innovation, Science, Research and TertiaryEducation. A CRC Student is defined as any student who works on CRC research activities and is identified as part of the CRC. Fulltime equivalent student data are shown for the reporting period ending the year indicated.





Metrics – preliminary findings





Opportunities for future development

Three broad opportunities for improving metrics reflecting the CRC contribution to research training include:

- · Program-wide data on attrition and completion rates
- Program-wide data on completion times
- Better information on graduate outcomes?



Metrics

Deriving attrition and completion rates

'Crude' attrition is currently derived as:

The proportion of students who commence a course in year (x) who neither complete nor return in year (x + 1) (DEEWR, 2011).

To calculate this you typically need to know the following:

- Commencements
- · Completions, and
- The number of continuing (or non-commencing) students.







LBOURNE GRADUATE HOOL OF EDUCATION ing minds, shaping the world

MELBOURNE GRADUAT

Deriving attrition and completion rates

BUT

As noted earlier the MDQ only includes survey items for:

- The number (headcount) of commencing students
- The number (headcount) of course completions
- The equivalent full-time student load (EFTSL) of students

For the purposes of deriving attrition and completion rates therefore calculations using current MDQ data would confounded by variations in enrolment status.



Metrics

Deriving attrition and completion rates

There is hope!







LELBOURNE GRADU

Deriving attrition and completion rates

Deriving attrition and completion rates for research students is tricky at the best of times.

Data on 'crude' attrition for research students is confounded by a range of factors including:

- Much higher variability in enrolment status (including intermission)
- Longer time frame frames to degree completion
- Higher incidence of institutional transfers

Therefore even if the MDQ surveyed for 'heads' as well as 'load', we would still be left with a less than perfect measure

than perfect measure.



Metrics

Deriving attrition and completion rates

One alternative may be to trial a '**unique student identifier**' model for tracking degree completions.

This would be akin to using something comparable to the *Commonwealth Higher Education Student Support Number* or '**CHESSN**', recently described as the 'the data equivalent of the Holy Grail' for student enrolment metrics.

This kind of approach is entirely possible in the case of CRCs, **preliminary modelling** for which will be included in the final report of the Scoping Study.







19

Metrics – preliminary findings



Metrics

What about completion times?

'Crude' calculations for research degree time to completion are also problematic, particularly when relying on completion rates derived from calendar year participation data.

As with completion rates, these data are also confounded by the higher rates of part time enrolment and variations in candidature typical of research students.

This kind of variability is not the problem – research shows a tendency for part-time research candidates to make more efficient use of candidature time (Bourke et al. 2005).

The key to reporting accurate completion times for CRC engaged research students lies in recording and reporting elapsed candidature time. This is potentially more complicated, and the benefits of recording this in parallel with unis would need to be weighed against the costs.







Dimensions and criteria in CRC RT

How can the CRC contribution to research training be demonstrated?

This could be through demonstrating:

- · Value in what they offer
- Distinctiveness in what they do
- · Evidence of quality outcomes over time





Dimensions and aspects of a quality research training environment

Some domains have emerged to broadly describe aspects of this environment that are *salient* for both students and supervisors and potentially *useful* in supporting successful outcomes (Palmer, 2012). These may be summarised as follows:

Dimension	Aspect		
Infrastructure and resources for research	Infrastructure, equipment, facilities and resources provided to support research, appropriate to enabling successful and timely completion.		
Supervision and examination	Quality in supervision, and of the examination process.		
Collegiality and intellectual climate	An open, collegial and productive learning environment, with support for doing and learning about research.		
Skills and professional development	Opportunities for personal and professional development, including the development of skills and professional capabilities.		
Administrative, student support and QA policies, programs and strategies	Administrative and student support services and programs. Policies, programs and strategies to promote and assure quality and to manage risk.		





MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION Shaping minds, shaping the world

Dimensions and criteria in CRC RT

Appendix I: On Quality and Standards in Research Training - Potential Dimensions, Aspects, Characteristics and Measures

		Key	T - threshold P - performance	I - inputiprocess O - outputioutcome	C - criterion referenced S - scaled/comparative L - ionplusina	fregardless of status as a p thre	otential standard, performance measure or ishold requirement.	
Dimension	Aspect	Characteristic	Activity type*	Indicator Type*	Criterion type*	Measure	Criterion	
	Infrastructure, equipment, facilities and resources provided to support research, appropriate to enabling successful and timely completion.							
		Adoption of minimum resource standards policy	T	1	С	Audit	Policy is in place	
	Each institution should have a readily.	Accessibility of minimum resource standards policy	P	1	С	Audit	Policy is accessible	
	accessible policy on resources for research	Enforceability of minimum resource standards policy	P	I	С	Audit	Policy is enforceable	
	doctoral candidates (DDoGS).	Implementation of minimum resource standards policy	P	T	с	Audit	Policy is appropriately implemented with good compliance	
4	Secure desk and study space (DQ).	Secure desk and study space specified in minimum resource standards policy	т	1	с	Audit	Secure desk and study space specified in minimum resource standards policy	
earc		Reported accessibility of a suitable working space	Р	0	S	Infrastructure Subscale PREQ03	Comparative	
es for res	Physical resources and access to research facilities including research infrastructure, laboratory or other facilities required across a	Access to necessary facilities and equipment specified in minimum resources policy	т	T	с	Audit	Access to necessary facilities and equipment specified in minimum resources policy	
ourc	range of disciplines (DQ).	Reported access to necessary equipment	P	0	S	Infrastructure Subscale PREQ12	Comparative	
nd res		Access to computing facilities specified in minimum resource standards policy	т	T	С	Audit	Access to computing facilities is specified in minimum resource standards policy	
re a		Access to necessary specialist software specified in	T		0	Audit	Access to necessary specialist	

From: Palmer, N. (2011). On Quality and Standards in Research Training. Melbourne, Australia: Centre for the Study of Higher Education (CSHE). Available at www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/people/palmer/Quality and Standards in Research Training.pdf. See also:

Palmer, N. (2010). Minimum Resources for Postgraduate Study 2010. Melbourne, Australia: Council of Australian Postgraduate Associations. Available at <u>www.capa.edu.au</u>.





Dimensions and criteria in CRC RT

Dimension	Aspect	Characteristic	Activity type*	Indicator Type*	Criterion type*	Measure	Criterion	
udent support and QA		clear understanding of the requirements of thesis examination	Р	0	S	Clear Goals Subscale PREQ19	Comparative	
	Access to independent support & advice (DQ).	Postgraduate association able to offer independent advocacy and advice on a professional and confidential basis	т	E	с	Audit	fostgraduate association is able to offer independent idvocacy and advice on a professional and confidential basis	
	Appeal and dispute resolution processes.	Mechanisms to collect, review and respond to feedback	т	I.	С	Audit	Mechanisms to collect, review and respond to feedback are in place	
istrative, st	Transparent policies and procedures.	Transparent admission criteria, procedures and processes	T	L	с	Audit	Admission criteria, procedures and processes are transparent and available to current and prospective students	
Admini		Transparent intellectual property policies and procedures	т	Ē	с	Audit	Transparent intellectual property policies and procedures are in place	
	Transparent monitoring of the progress of each candidate via a structured process with significant milestones, and regular monitoring/reporting of progress throughout candidature, including prior to submission for examination (DDoGS).	Clearly defined mechanisms for monitoring and supporting student progress	т	Ĩ.	с	Audit	Clearly defined mechanisms for monitoring and supporting student progress are in place	
		Guidance to supervisors and students on monitoring progress and providing appropriate records	1	L	С	Audit	Guidance is made available to supervisors and students on monitoring progress and providing appropriate records	
	Other outputs and outcomes	Overall satisfaction with the quality of the research higher degree experience	P	0	S	Overall satisfaction PREQ28	Comparative	
		Completion rate	P	0	S	HEIMS	Comparative	
		Attrition rate	P	0	S	HEIMS	Comparative	
		Graduate contribution to knowledge through research outputs, including (but not limited to) theses, publications, exhibitions, grants and patents.	Р	o	S	ERA?	Comparative	

From: Palmer, N. (2011). On Quality and Standards in Research Training. Melbourne, Australia: Centre for the Study of Higher Education (CSHE). Available at www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/people/palmer/Quality and Standards in Research Training.pdf.





MELBOURNE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION Shaping minds, shaping the world

Dimensions and criteria in CRC RT

How can the CRC contribution to research training be demonstrated?

This could be through demonstrating:

- · Value in what they offer
- · Distinctiveness in what they do
- · Evidence of quality outcomes over time
- This need not duplicate all of the research training activities supported by university partners.
- The scoping study could provide the basis for more comprehensive benchmarking within CRCs.









